Honorable Judge Redacted D. Eadie Hearing Date: October 2, 2012 Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF KING 9 LANE POWELL, PC, an Oregon professional corporation, 10 No. 11-2-34596-3 SEA Plaintiff, 11 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF** v. 12 **11,000 RESPONSIVE** MARK DECOURSEY and CAROL **ELECTRONIC RECORDS WITH** 13 DECOURSEY **SUBJOINED DECLARATION** 14 Defendants 15 1. RELIEF REQUESTED 16 DeCourseys respectfully ask the Court to compel Lane Powell to produce requested 17 18 discovery materials pursuant to CR 37. 19 2. STATEMENT OF FACTS 20 On December 19, 2011, DeCourseys served to Plaintiff Lane Powell (through its 21 attorney, McNaul Ebel) DeCourseys' First Set of Discovery Requests to Plaintiff. 22 Attachment A. Lane Powell has admitted having 11,000 electronic responsive documents, 23 Exhibit C (email of March 19). 24 25 Under CR 34, all documents requested are to be available within 30 days of the 26 request. Mark & Carol DeCoursey, pro se **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION** 8209 172nd Ave NE OF 1,000 RESPONSIVE ELECTRONIC RECORDS - 1

1

Redmond, WA 98052 Telephone 425.885.3130

> **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION** OF 1,000 RESPONSIVE ELECTRONIC RECORDS - 2

Mark & Carol DeCoursey, pro se 8209 172nd Ave NE Redmond, WA 98052 Telephone 425.885.3130

1

2

On March 19, 2011, a full 60 days after they were due, Lane Powell admitted it had "11,000 or so electronic documents" that were responsive to DeCourseys discovery requests, but was still not ready to produce them. Exhibit C. DeCourseys have requested production of the electronic documents multiple times since then, Exhibit B, C, D (email of September 5), but Lane Powell procrastinates, denies that production is late, and finds other excuses.

On July 6, 2012, the Court reminded all parties that the trial would happen in March 2013 and should prepare for that date. DeCourseys notified Lane Powell's counsel again on September 5, 2012 that it was in violation of the discovery Rules.

At this time, Lane Powell still has not produced the 11,000 electronic documents it admits that it has. Lane Powell stands in defiance of the Civil Rules. Declaration of Mark

Apparently, the Court must force Lane Powell to comply with its legal obligations.

3. STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Is Lane Powell in violation of the Rules?

Do DeCourseys have a right to discover evidence?

Will this Court enforce the Rules of discovery?

4. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

Declaration of Mark DeCoursey and attached Exhibits 1-J.

The records of this case on file with the court

AUTHORITY

The Court has the power, and in the interests of justice, the obligation, to enforce the discovery rules on all parties with equity.

6. ORDER

In accordance with LCR 7(b)(5)(C), a proposed order accompanies this motion. In keeping with LCR 37(d), the Court shall require Lane Powell to deposit with the SHARE/WHEEL homeless charity a sanction in the amount incurred by its own law firm to write file, and argue a motion to compel discovery, thereby discouraging the lawlessness with which too many large firms are commonly afflicted.

)

DATED this 2 day of September, 2012.

Carol DeCoursey Carol De Councer Pro se

Mai Pro se

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF 1,000 RESPONSIVE ELECTRONIC RECORDS - 3 Mark & Carol DeCoursey, pro se 8209 172nd Ave NE Redmond, WA 98052 Telephone 425.885.3130

1	Declaration of Mark DeCoursey
2	Mark DeCoursey hereby declares as follows:
3	Being over the age of eighteen and competent to testify, I hereby attest and declare
4	the following under the laws of perjury of the State of Washington:
5	Exhibit A is a true and fair copy of ODeCourseys' First Set of Discovery Requests to
6	Plaintiff, served December 19, 2011.
7	Exhibit B is a true and fair copy of an email exchange between DeCourseys and Lane
8 9	Powell's counsel concerning that discovery request between February 26 and February
9 10	28, 2012.
10	Exhibit \mathbf{C} is a true and fair copy of an email exchange between DeCourseys and Lane
12	Powell's counsel concerning that discovery request between March 4, 2012 and April 11,
13	2012.
14	Exhibit D is a true and fair copy of an email exchange between DeCourseys and Lane
15	Powell's counsel concerning that discovery request between September 5, 2012 and
16	September 10, 2012.
17	Despite this extensive correspondence, the prolonged delay, and the court's orders, Lane
18	Powell has not produced any part of the 11,000 electronic documents that Lane Powell
19 20	admits it has.
20	11 C +
22	DATED this $\frac{1}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{2}$, 2012
23	Mark Decoursey
24	Pro se
25	PIO Se
26	
	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION Mark & Carol DeCoursey, pro se OF 1,000 RESPONSIVE ELECTRONIC RECORDS - 4 8209 172nd Ave NE Bedmond, WA 98052

Redmond, WA 98052 Telephone 425.885.3130